

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,534		10/25/2001	David B. Lloyd	345008003US1 4416		
25096	7590	02/13/2004		EXAMINER		
PERKINS	COIE LI	LP .	ENATSKY, AARON L			
PATENT-S				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX	P.O. BOX 1247				PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,	WA 981	111-1247		3713		
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/004,534	LLOYD ET AL.	0				
Advisory Money.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Aaron L Enatsky	3713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper re-	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	e e					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the second of extension of the second of extension of the second of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does N	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or l vould be rejected is provided be	o)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	l and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		, .					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
• •	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:		Walkerg	-				
	<i>J.W.</i> Teres	a Walberg					
	Supervisory	Patent Examiner up 3700					



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended claims to require handling multiple communication protocols by a game server. Examiner stated in an Interview on 1/14/04 that this would require a further search when submitted for consideration..

art TIPS